

Uniqueness of Best Chebyshev Approximation on Subsets

CHARLES B. DUNHAM

Computer Science Department, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Let X be a compact space. For Y a compact subset of X , let $C(Y)$ be the space of real continuous functions on Y . For $g \in C(Y)$ define

$$\|g\|_Y = \sup\{|g(x)| : x \in Y\}, \quad \|g\| = \|g\|_X.$$

Let $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ be a linearly independent subset of $C(X)$ and define

$$L(A, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k(x).$$

The Chebyshev approximation problem on Y is: given $f \in C(Y)$ to find A^* minimizing $\|f - L(A, \cdot)\|_Y$. Such a parameter A^* is called best. This approximation problem is studied in [1, Chapter 3; 2; 3, Chapter 12].

DEFINITION. Let $\{X_k\}$ be a sequence of compact subsets of X . We say $\{X_k\} \rightarrow X$ if for any $x \in X$, there is an $\{x_k\} \rightarrow x, x_k \in X_k$. A well known result [1, p. 87] is the following.

THEOREM. *Let f have a unique best approximation $L(A, \cdot)$ to f on X . Let $\{X_k\} \rightarrow X$ and $L(A_k, \cdot)$ be best to f on X_k , then $\|L(A, \cdot) - L(A_k, \cdot)\| \rightarrow 0$.*

The related problem we wish to consider is whether f having a unique best approximation on X implies that best approximations are unique on all sufficiently dense subsets. This is obviously the case if f is an approximant $L(A, \cdot)$: we henceforth assume that f is not an approximant.

1. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR UNIQUENESS

Let us fix f and define

$$M(Y, A) = \{x : |f(x) - L(A, x)| = \|f - L(A, \cdot)\|_Y, \quad x \in Y\}.$$

By continuity of $|f - L(A, \cdot)|$ and compactness of Y , $M(Y, A)$ is a closed nonempty set. The set $M(Y, A)$ plays a critical role in the characterization of best approximations and in uniqueness. The following definitions are due to Lawson [2, pp. 22–23].

DEFINITION. A subset W of Y is an *error-determining set* (ED set) for f on Y if

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{\sup\{|f(x) - L(A, x)| : x \in Y\} : A \in E_n\} \\ & = \inf\{\sup\{|f(x) - L(A, x)| : x \in W\} : A \in E_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

An irreducible error determining set (IED set) for f on Y is an ED set for f on Y which has no proper subset which is an ED set on Y .

IED sets are called “critical point sets” by Rice [3, p. 233]. It is a consequence of (i) the characterization result of Lawson [2], or (ii) the characterization result of Cheney [1, p. 73] and the theorem of Caratheodory [1, p. 17] that an IED set exists, contains at most $n + 1$ points and is a subset of $M(Y, A)$ for all A best on Y .

LEMMA. *Best approximations to f agree on any IED set for f .*

Proof. Let A, B be best to f and let W be a set on which $L(A, \cdot)$ and $L(B, \cdot)$ differ, say at the point x . By convexity of the set of best coefficients, $(A + B)/2$ is also best. Further $x \notin M(Y, (A + B)/2)$. Hence x cannot be in an IED set for f .

THEOREM. *A sufficient condition for best approximations to f to be unique is that the set of approximants be a Haar subspace of dimension n on an IED set.*

Proof. Let W be an IED set on which $\{L(A, \cdot) : A \in E_n\}$ is a Haar subspace of dimension n . If W contained n or fewer points, an approximation could be selected taking any desired value on W . Hence W contains $n + 1$ points. As all best approximations agree on W , the Haar condition implies that a best approximation is unique.

COROLLARY. *A sufficient condition for best A to be unique on Y is that the family of approximations be a Haar subspace of dimension n on $M(Y, A)$.*

2. UNIQUENESS ON SUBSETS

If f has a unique best approximation, there may exist $\{X_k\} \rightarrow X$ such that f does not have a unique best approximation on X_k . This can occur even if the set of approximants is a Haar subspace of dimension n on an IED set for f .

EXAMPLE. Let $X = [-1, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= 0 & x \leq 0 \\ &= x & x > 0.\end{aligned}$$

$L(a, x) = a\phi(x)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \cos(2\pi x) & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ &= 1 + x & -1 \leq x \leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

We have $M(X, 0) = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ and since $\phi(0) = 0$, 0 is best. The set $\{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ is an IED set and the set of approximants is a Haar subspace of dimension 1 on $\{\frac{1}{2}, 1\}$. Hence 0 is a unique best approximation. We can select Y with density arbitrarily small such that $M(Y, 0) = \{0\}$ and 0 is a nonunique best approximation to f on Y .

However, if the set of approximants is a Haar subspace of dimension n on the error extrema of the best approximation, best approximations on all sufficiently dense subsets must be unique.

THEOREM. *Let A be best to f on X and the set of approximations be a Haar subspace of dimension n on $M(X, A)$. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if the density of Y in X is less than ϵ , a best approximation to f on Y is unique.*

Proof. Suppose not, then there is a sequence $\{X_k\} \rightarrow X$ such that f does not have a unique best approximation on X_k . Let $\{A_k\}$ be best on X_k . A is unique by the preceding corollary. It follows by the first theorem that $\{A_k\} \rightarrow A$. By nonuniqueness of A_k , there exists an IED set Y_k for f on X_k on which the Haar condition fails. By taking a subsequence if necessary we can assume that all IED sets Y_k contain the same number of points, say m points. The sequence Y_k of m -tuples of elements of a compact set has an accumulation point Y , assume $\{Y_k\} \rightarrow Y$. For given $x \in X$, define

$$I(x) = (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_n(x)).$$

As Y_k is an error-determining set for f on X_k , 0 is in the convex hull of $\{(f(x) - L(A_k, x)), I(x) : x \in Y_k\}$ by the characterization theorem [1, p. 73]. As $f - L(A_k, \cdot) \rightarrow f - L(A, \cdot)$ and $\{Y_k\} \rightarrow Y$, we have by continuity that 0 is in the convex hull of $\{(f(x) - L(A, x)) \cdot I(x) : x \in Y\}$. Let $x \in Y$ and suppose $|f(x) - L(A, x)| < \|f - L(A, \cdot)\|$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - L(A, x)| < \|f - L(A, \cdot)\| - \epsilon$$

and hence a neighbourhood N of x such that

$$|f(y) - L(A, y)| < \|f - L(A, \cdot)\| - \epsilon \quad y \in N.$$

For all k sufficiently large, we have

$$|f(y) - L(A_k, y)| < \|f - L(A_k, \cdot)\|_{X_k} - \epsilon/2 \quad y \in N.$$

But this contradicts the existence of a sequence $\{y_k\} \rightarrow x, y_k \in M(X_k, A_k)$. Hence if $x \in Y, x \in M(X, A)$. It then follows from the characterization theorem that Y is an ED set for f on X and therefore contains an IED set Y' for f on X . As $Y' \subset M(X, A)$, the Haar condition is satisfied on Y' . If Y' has n or fewer points, approximants could be selected to agree with f on Y' . This would contradict Y' being an ED set so Y' has $n + 1$ points. Hence Y has $n + 1$ distinct points, call them x_0, \dots, x_n . There exist sequences $\{x_i^k\}, i = 0, \dots, n$ such that $x_i^k \in Y_k$ and $\{x_i^k\} \rightarrow x_i, i = 0, \dots, n$. Let the Haar condition fail on the n point set $\{Y_k\} \sim x_{j(k)}^k$. There is an integer j in $0, \dots, n$ such that $j(k)$ is j infinitely often. By taking a subset of $\{Y_k\}$ if necessary we can assume that $j(k) = j$ for all k . The Vandermonde determinant of the basis functions evaluated at the points $\{Y_k\} \sim x_{j^k}$ is then zero for all k , hence by continuity the Vandermonde determinant of the basis functions evaluated at the points $\{Y\} \sim x_j$ is zero. The Haar condition then fails on the n point subset $\{Y\} \sim x_j$ of $M(X, A)$, contradicting the hypothesis of the theorem.

The theorem is proven. The subset result becomes

THEOREM. *Let the family of approximations be a Haar subspace of dimension n on $M(X, A)$. Let $\{X_k\} \rightarrow X$. Then for all k sufficiently large f has a unique best approximation $L(A_k, \cdot)$ on X_k and $\{L(A_k, \cdot)\}$ converges uniformly to $L(A, \cdot)$, the unique best approximation to f on X .*

REFERENCES

1. E. W. CHENEY, "Introduction to Approximation Theory," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
2. C. LAWSON, Contributions to the theory of linear least maximum approximation, dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles, 1961.
3. J. RICE, "The Approximation of Functions," Vol. 2, Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
4. M. W. BARTELT, Strongly unique best approximates to a function on a set, and a finite subset thereof, *Pacific J. Math.* **53** (1974), 1-9.
5. C. B. DUNHAM, Uniqueness of best Chebyshev approximation to nearby functions, *Periodica Math. Hungarica*, to appear.